

Name Project Iteration

Description

Rubric Detail

Criteria	Levels of Achievement			
	Bigger problems	Some problems but still okay	Good	Significantly exceeds expectations
Project plan Weight 20.00%	55 % Dubious features; no plan on when which features will be implemented; no overview of most closely related apps; wrong or missing argument on how app differs from related apps; no list of risks; no plans for how and when to deal with risks.	70 % Some good features; plan on when which features will be implemented; overview of some related apps; argument on how app differs from related apps; a list of risks; some plans for how and when to deal with risks.	85 % Some exciting features; good plan on when which features will be implemented; good overview of most closely related apps; good argument on how app differs from related apps; list of biggest risks in terms of likelihood times impact; realistic plans for how and when to deal with risks.	100 % Many exciting features; excellent plan on when which features will be implemented; excellent overview of most closely related apps; excellent argument on how app differs from related apps; list of five biggest risks in terms of likelihood times impact; realistic plans for how and when to deal with top risks.

Criteria	Levels of Achievement			
	Bigger problems	Some problems but still okay	Good	Significantly exceeds expectations
Specification and design Weight 20.00%	55 % Many inputs and outputs are not described; many key data structures are not documented or not appropriate for app features; many implemented features do not have a use-case or mock screen shot transition graph, many exceptional cases are not covered; many use-cases or screen shot transition graphs conflict with each other; documents are very hard to follow.	70 % Several inputs and outputs are not described; several key data structures are not documented or not appropriate for app features; several implemented features do not have a use-case or mock screen shot transition graph, several exceptional cases are not covered; several use-cases or screen shot transition graphs conflict with each other; documents are hard to follow.	85 % Good list of inputs and outputs; most key data structures are documented and appropriate for app features; most implemented features have a use-case or mock screen shot transition graph, covering most exceptional cases; use-cases or screen shot transition graphs mostly do not conflict with each other; documents are easy to follow.	100 % Comprehensive and detailed list of all inputs and outputs; all key data structures are documented and appropriate for app features; each implemented feature has a use-case or mock screen shot transition graph, covering all exceptional cases; use-cases or screen shot transition graphs do not conflict with each other; documents are very easy to follow.
Code and tests Weight 30.00%	55 % The app delivers few features; none of the key code elements have comments; none of the most complex and important code elements have appropriate test cases; the instructions on how to compile & run app & test cases are very hard to follow; the program organization is very hard to understand; most of the code is not needed.	70 % The app delivers some good features; some key code elements have comments; several of the most complex and important code elements do not have appropriate test cases; the instructions on how to compile & run app & test cases are hard to follow; the program organization is hard to understand; there is a lot of unneeded code.	85 % The app delivers some exciting features and does not have major problems; most key code elements have good comments; some of the most complex and important code elements do not have appropriate test cases; the instructions on how to compile & run app & test cases are easy to follow; the program organization is easy to understand; there is some unneeded code.	100 % The app delivers many exciting features without problems; the most complex and important code elements have excellent comments; the most complex and important code elements have appropriate test cases; the instructions on how to compile & run app & test cases are very easy to follow; the program organization is very easy to understand; there is no unneeded code.

Criteria	Levels of Achievement			
	Bigger problems	Some problems but still okay	Good	Significantly exceeds expectations
Document style Weight 30.00%	55 % Without the authors present an external reviewer would only understand very little from the documents; all used 3rd-party material (visuals, text, code, etc.) is referenced at the end but not cited correctly within a document.	70 % Without the authors present an external reviewer would only understand about half of the documents; an external reviewer would find the documents to be unnecessarily complicated -- the information contained in the documents could be conveyed much more clearly; all used 3rd-party material (visuals, text, code, etc.) is fully cited.	85 % Without the authors present an external reviewer would understand almost all of the documents; the information contained in the documents is conveyed clearly; all used 3rd-party material (visuals, text, code, etc.) is fully cited.	100 % Without the authors present an external reviewer would easily understand all of the documents; the information contained in the documents is conveyed exceptionally clearly; all used 3rd-party material (visuals, text, code, etc.) is fully cited.

[View Associated Items](#)

Print

Close Window