

Name Project Presentation

Description

Rubric Detail

Criteria	Levels of Achievement			
	Bigger problems	Some problems, but still okay	Strong	Significantly exceeds expectations
Content Weight 34.00%	55 % Missed most aspects of presentation topic; contents are not innovative or original; contents are not analyzed; many parts of presentation contradict each other or common knowledge.	70 % Missed several aspects of presentation topic; contents are a little innovative and original; contents are analyzed; some parts of presentation contradict each other or common knowledge.	85 % Good coverage of presentation topic; contents are innovative and original; contents are well-analyzed; presentation mostly does not contradict itself or common knowledge.	100 % Excellent coverage of presentation topic; contents are highly innovative and original; contents are analyzed in depth; presentation does not contradict itself or common knowledge.
Slides Weight 33.00%	55 % No use of visuals; text is very hard to read; visuals are very hard to follow; all used 3rd-party material (visuals, text, code, etc.) is referenced at the end but not cited correctly within the slides; many typos or grammar bugs; slides are not numbered.	70 % Some use of visuals; some text is very hard to read; some visuals are very hard to follow; 3rd-party material (visuals, text, code, etc.) is fully cited; several typos or grammar bugs; few slides are numbered.	85 % Good use of visuals; most text is easy to read; most visuals are easy to follow; 3rd-party material (visuals, text, code, etc.) is fully cited; very few typos or grammar bugs; most slides have the correct slide number.	100 % Excellent use of visuals; all text is easy to read; all visuals are easy to follow; 3rd-party material (visuals, text, code, etc.) is fully cited; no typos or grammar bugs; all slides have the correct slide number.

Criteria	Levels of Achievement			
	Bigger problems	Some problems, but still okay	Strong	Significantly exceeds expectations
Presentation style Weight 33.00%	55 % Chaotic content delivery; much time wasted on redundant or boring information such as "overview" bullet-point slides; presentation ends before 50% of the allocated presentation time; no eye contact or interaction with the audience; bad question handling.	70 % Organized content delivery; some time wasted on redundant or boring information such as "overview" bullet-point slides; presentation ends before 70% of the allocated presentation time; little eye contact or interaction with the audience; several problems in question handling.	85 % Well-organized content delivery; little time wasted on redundant or boring information such as "overview" bullet-point slides; good eye contact and interaction with the audience; good question handling.	100 % Clear and well-organized content delivery; no time wasted on redundant or boring information such as "overview" bullet-point slides; excellent eye contact and interaction with the audience; excellent question handling.

[View Associated Items](#)

Print

Close Window